precisely, he caused MCR to find new loans that Household would agree to fund. Ketner then caused the money earmarked for these new loans to pay off the original borrowers whose funds had been misappropriated.

To further this scheme, on or about May 22, 2000, Ketner caused Household to wire approximately \$346,500 from its accounts in Illinois to Johnson's client trust account at Sanwa Bank in California. In causing this wire transfer, Ketner did not intend to use the money as promised, namely, to fund the loans of borrowers identified in the wire transfer requests. Rather, Ketner intended to divert these proceeds to fund the loans of borrowers whose money MCR had already misappropriated. As a result of this scheme, including his misappropriation and diversion of funds, Ketner caused Household and other lenders to suffer approximately \$9.2 million in losses.

Ketner also laundered a portion of the money that MCR received as a result of this scheme. Having fraudulently caused Household to wire money to Johnson's client trust account, Ketner then caused Johnson to transfer the proceeds from this fraud to MCR's account at La Salle Bank. From this location, Ketner caused the proceeds from the fraud to be further disbursed to other bank accounts in the United States. For instance, on or about May 22, 2000, Ketner caused to be wired from Johnson's bank account at

```
1
     Sanwa Bank to one of MCR's accounts at La Salle Bank
     $335,000 that Ketner knew was derived from his wire fraud
2
3
     scheme.
               THE COURT:
                           Sir, do you understand what the
4
    Assistant United States Attorney has said?
5
               THE DEFENDANT:
6
                               Yes.
                           Is everything the Assistant
7
               THE COURT:
     United States Attorney said about you and about your conduct
8
     and intent true and correct?
9
               THE DEFENDANT: May I just talk to my counsel for
10
     one moment?
11
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
12
               (Counsel and defendant conferring.)
13
               THE COURT: Let me repeat the question.
14
               Is everything the Assistant United States Attorney
15
     has said about you and about your conduct and intent true
16
17
     and correct?
               THE DEFENDANT: It is true and correct as to my
18
     intent and conduct. There are some statements I disagree
19
     with in it, but basically I am guilty of what I am agreeing
20
     to plead guilty to.
21
               THE COURT: What factual statements do you
22
     disagree with?
23
                               I disagree with the --
               THE DEFENDANT:
24
               MR. BIRD: Your Honor, perhaps I could
25
```

characterize what -- and then he can either agree or disagree with my characterization.

The one point that concerns him is the statement about the payments for his house, boat, and credit cards.

There were payments made out of the MCR accounts for those purposes, and that's what he has acknowledged and admits.

Isn't that correct, Mr. Ketner?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, I want to be clear on the record what it is you don't agree with.

(Counsel and defendant conferring.)

THE DEFENDANT: The money was used for a lot of purposes, and I will comply with the wording of what Mr. Tabacchi read. I will agree to the wording that Mr. Tabacchi read.

THE COURT: Is it accurate?

MR. BIRD: I think the point is this, Your Honor, that the money that went into the MCR accounts was used for a lot of purposes. I think what is a problem for Mr. Ketner is that this reads as if those were the only two purposes, to run MCR and to pay his personal expenses. He is not contesting that there was money taken out of MCR to pay those expenses.

THE COURT: Okay.

Is that correct?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you in 2 fact did the acts charged in Counts 9 and 16 of the 3 Indictment? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Tell me in your own words what you 6 7 did, sir. THE DEFENDANT: I knowingly participated in 8 diverting borrowers' money for purposes other than 9 designated in the -- that the original designation for the 10 purposes of those funds were. That was done by wire 11 transfers, and the money was commingled and laundered and 12 used to pay other expenses that weren't called for. 13 THE COURT: Did you in fact cause a transfer to be 14 made by Household on or about May 22, 2000, in the amount of 15 approximately \$346,500 to Johnson's trust account at Sanwa 16 17 Bank? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Did you know that that transfer was 19 made for the purpose of diverting the funds for an 20 impermissible use? 21 THE DEFENDANT: 22 THE COURT: Were you aware that the transfer was 23 made in interstate commerce, namely, from Illinois to 24 California? 25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Did you in fact cause a transfer on or 2 about May 22, 2000, of \$335,000 approximately from MCR's 3 account at La Salle Bank -- well, from Johnson's bank 4 account at Sanwa to one of MCR's accounts at La Salle Bank? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I did, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Did you know that those funds were 7 8 part of an illegal scheme? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Sir, are you pleading guilty because 10 you are in fact guilty? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Is the government satisfied with the 13 factual basis for the plea? 14 MR. TABACCHI: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Bird, have you reviewed the facts 16 of the case? 17 MR. BIRD: I have. 18 THE COURT: Have you reviewed all the discovery 19 that may have been provided to you by the government? 20 MR. BIRD: A large portion of it. There is 21 still -- yes, we have. 22 THE COURT: I realize we are talking about some 23 300 plus boxes if we get to the --24 MR. BIRD: Yes, well in excess. 25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you believe that you have conducted a sufficient inquiry to competently advise your client in this case? MR. BIRD: Yes, I do. THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, may I talk to my attorney one more time? THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel and defendant conferring.) MR. BIRD: Your Honor, there are a lot of facts in this case, and I am not sure that either the government or the defense is aware of all of them. The reason that Mr. Ketner has taken issue and wants to take issue again is that there are facts that I think he is aware of that maybe the attorneys in this case aren't fully aware of. The one that he has just brought to my attention has nothing to do with the substance of the plea he has It has to do with a detail, and I will tell you entered. what that is in a moment, but I want to explain why it is that he has paused to talk to counsel in these two instances. What he is concerned about today -- and rightfully so -- is that he be entirely accurate with the Court and honest. He doesn't want to say something here if it's not

that the -- and this is something that frankly counsel --

To be more specific about this last point, he says

both counsel should have caught before, but he is saying 1 2 that the transfer that's referred to on May 22, 2000, was 3 actually from a Bank of America account as opposed to the La Salle Bank. 4 Is thay correct? 5 THE DEFENDANT: It was to a Bank of America 6 account I believe instead of a La Salle Bank account. 7 just don't want to be not accurate with you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: The transfer nevertheless was one in 9 10 interstate commerce? THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 11 12 THE COURT: Have you reviewed the facts of the case to the extent they relate to the counts to which he's 13 14 pleading? MR. BIRD: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And the discovery as well? 16 MR. BIRD: 17 Yes. THE COURT: And at least as to the counts to which 18 Mr. Ketner is pleading, have you reviewed with him the facts 19 of the case as well as any discovery that may have been 20 provided by the government? 21 I have with the exception of that one MR. BIRD: 22 detail, which I thought we had in mind but we didn't. 23 have reviewed the facts, yes. 24 THE COURT: Have you advised Mr. Ketner concerning 25

1 the legality or admissibilty of any statements or 2 confessions or other evidence the government may have 3 against him? 4 MR. BIRD: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Is the defendant pleading quilty because of any illegally obtained evidence in the possession 6 7 of the government that you are aware of? MR. BIRD: 8 No. THE COURT: Did you explore with your client any 9 10 possible defenses he may have? MR. BIRD: 11 Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you believe that there is a factual basis for the plea which the defendant is offering to enter? 13 THE DEFENDANT: 14 I do. 15 THE COURT: Have you conferred with and advised your client regarding this guilty plea? 16 MR. BIRD: 17 Yes. Do you believe that the plea is being 18 THE COURT: made freely and voluntarily with a full understanding of the 19 charges and the consequences of the plea? 20 21 MR. BIRD: Yes. The plea agreement indicates that it 22 THE COURT: was signed by Mr. Ketner on July 15, 2006, and by Mr. Kluck 23 on July 17, 2006. 24 Did you personally have a conversation with Mr. 25

1 Ketner with regard to the plea agreement prior to the time he signed it? 2 3 MR. BIRD: Oh, yes. THE COURT: Do you feel that you adequately 4 informed him of your advice and counsel with regard to the 5 plea agreement? 6 MR. BIRD: I do. 8 THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to respond to any questions and concerns he may have had with regard to 9 the plea agreement? 10 MR. BIRD: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Did all of that occur prior to his 12 signing the plea agreement? 13 14 MR. BIRD: Oh, yes. THE COURT: Does the plea agreement represent the 15 entire disposition of this case insofar as you, your client, 16 17 and the U.S. Attorney's Office is concerned? We have had discussions which MR. BIRD: It does. 18 are not part of this plea agreement and which are not 19 promises made in the sense that the Court has used them 20 about an opportunity to present additional information to 21 the government, and we understand that the government has 22 made no promises as to what the consequence of that will be, 23 but with that exception, the plea agreement does include the 24 25 representations.

THE COURT: Have there been any promises, representations, or guarantees made either to you or your client other than what's set forth in the plea agreement?

MR. BIRD: No.

THE COURT: Other than what's contained in the written plea agreement and other than a general discussion of the guideline sentencing process, have you made any indication to Mr. Ketner of what specific sentence the Court would impose or convey to him any promise of a particular sentence in the event that the Court accepts his plea of guilty?

MR. BIRD: No. We have discussed the guideline range, Booker, the effect of Booker, the freedom that the Court has in imposing sentences, and we have discussed the practical effect of the agreement with the government concerning the guideline range, but we have not represented to him that there is any way of knowing as we stand here today what that ultimate sentence will be.

THE COURT: In your judgment, is it in your client's best interests and the interests of justice for me to accept this plea?

MR. BIRD: It is.

THE COURT: In coming to the conclusion that it was in your client's best interests, have you taken into account the various motions which Mr. Ketner made

challenging an alleged conflict of interest in the 1 2 government's prosecution? MR. BIRD: 3 I have. THE COURT: Have you concluded notwithstanding 4 those arguments and the possibility of relief on appeal that 5 it's still in his best interests to enter into this plea 6 7 agreement? MR. BIRD: Yes. THE COURT: Do you join in the waiver of jury 9 10 trial and concur in the plea? MR. BIRD: I do. 11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Tabacchi, other than what's expressly contained in the written plea agreement, has the 13 government made any other promises, representations, or 14 quarantees either to the defendant or his counsel? 15 MR. TABACCHI: 16 THE COURT: Is the intended plea agreement part of 17 any joint agreement with any other defendant or potential 18 defendant? 19 20 MR. TABACCHI: No. THE COURT: Mr. Ketner, are you satisfied with the 21 representation which Mr. Bird and his colleagues have 22 provided to you? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 24 THE COURT: Do you feel that your counsel has 25

1 fully considered any defenses you may have to the charges? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3 THE COURT: Do you believe that your counsel has fully advised you concerning this matter? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: T do. 6 THE COURT: Do you feel that you have had enough 7 time to discuss this matter with Mr. Bird and his colleagues? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 9 THE COURT: Would you like any additional time 10 11 now? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Mr. Bird or anyone tell you how to 13 14 answer any of the questions I have asked today? 15 THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Do you feel that you understand 16 17 everything that is going on here today, the consequences to 18 you, and that you are competent to make the decision to plead guilty? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 21 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why the Court should not accept your plea of guilty? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 23 Do you understand then that all that 24 THE COURT: is left in your case in the event that I accept your plea of 25

1 quilty is the imposition of sentence which may include 2 imprisonment under the Federal Guidelines? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that. 4 THE COURT: Having in mind all we have discussed 5 regarding your plea of guilty, the rights you will be giving up, and the maximum sentence you might receive, is it still 6 7 your desire to plead quilty? THE DEFENDANT: 8 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count 9 of the 9 10 Indictment? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 12 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count 16 of the Indictment? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 14 I am going to make certain findings. 15 THE COURT: 16 If you don't understand what I say or if you disagree with 17 what I say or if you would like to consult with Mr. Bird, please interrupt me or ask him to interrupt me. 18 The Court having questioned the defendant and his 19 counsel on his offer to plead quilty to Counts 9 and 16 of 20 the Indictment, each a felony, the defendant and his counsel 21 having advised the Court that they have conferred concerning 22 the offered pleas of guilty and all aspects of the charges 23 against the defendant and any defenses he may have, and the 24 Court having observed the defendant's intelligence, 25

б

demeanor, and attitude while answering questions, and the Court having observed that the defendant does not appear to be under the influence of any medicine, drug, or other substance or factor which might affect his actions or judgment in any manner, the Court now therefore finds that there is a factual basis for the plea.

The Court finds that Kenneth Ketner has entered his plea freely and voluntarily with a full understanding of the charges against him and the consequences of his plea.

The Court finds that the defendant understands his constitutional and statutory rights and wishes to waive them.

Accordingly, it is ordered that the plea be accepted and entered in the records of this court.

The clerk will now give you a date for sentencing.

THE CLERK: November 6, 2006, at 9:30 a.m.

MR. BIRD: Could I have a moment to speak with Mr. Tabacchi?

(Counsel conferring.)

MR. TABACCHI: Your Honor, the parties have previously spoke about this, and I apologize for not bringing with the court clerk prior, but I think the parties had hoped for perhaps a sentencing in late November or early December if the Court is available.

THE COURT: What do you propose?

```
MR. TABACCHI:
                              The government is generally
1
     available in late November and early December, so it's
2
     really at the convenience of the Court and defense counsel.
3
                          Actually I am scheduled to be in trial
               MR. BIRD:
     starting the end of November, so if we could do it -- how
 5
     about the 21st?
6
               THE COURT: Of what?
               MR. BIRD: Of November.
                                        It's a Tuesday -- oh, you
8
9
    do it on Mondays.
               THE COURT:
                          Right.
10
                          If we could do it in the afternoon.
11
               MR. BIRD:
               THE COURT: I would be happy to do that.
12
    give you that date if you want it, but it is Thanksgiving
13
14
    week.
               MR. BIRD: We could move it to December 4.
15
               THE COURT: That's fine, 9:30 a.m., December 4,
16
     2006.
17
               Mr. Ketner, I order you to appear on that date and
18
    at that time without further of the Court.
19
               In the meantime, the case will be referred to the
20
    Probation Office for the preparation of a presentence
21
    report. You will be asked to give information for the
22
     report. You will receive a copy of the report, and you will
23
    have an opportunity to make any objections. You will also
24
    have an opportunity to speak at the sentencing hearing. I
25
```

```
1
     urge you to consult with Mr. Bird so that he can answer any
2
     questions you may have concerning the process.
 3
               Anything further for today?
               MR. TABACCHI: Not on the behalf of the
 4
     United States.
 5
               THE COURT: Is the government aware of any
 6
     violations of pretrial release?
7
 8
               MR. TABACCHI:
               THE COURT: Does the government stipulate that
 9
     Mr. Ketner is neither a flight risk nor a danger to the
10
     community?
11
               MR. TABACCHI: At this time, yes.
12
                           I find that the statutory requirements
               THE COURT:
13
14
     have been fulfilled and the defendant is to remain free on
15
     bond.
               MR. BIRD:
                          Thank you.
16
               MR. TABACCHI: Thank you.
17
                   (Proceedings were adjourned.)
18
                                 -000-
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-000-CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. November 7, 2006 Date: